## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, | ) |                           |
|---------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| Plaintiff,                | ) | Case No. 1:05-cr-00096    |
| v.                        | ) | Honorable Gordon J. Quist |
| DEMOND SHONTE ATKINS,     | ) |                           |
| Defendant.                | ) |                           |

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1, I conducted a plea hearing in the captioned case on May 4, 2006, after receiving the written consent of defendant and all counsel. At the hearing, defendant Demond Shonte Atkins entered a plea of guilty to the Superseding Information, charging defendant with conspiracy to distribute and to possess with intent to distribute heroin in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 846, 841(a)(1), in exchange for the undertakings made by the government in the written plea agreement. On the basis of the record made at the hearing, I find that defendant is fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea; that the plea is made knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights waived by defendant; that it is made voluntarily and free from any force, threats, or promises, apart from the promises in the plea agreement; that the defendant understands the nature of the charge and penalties provided by law; and that the plea has a sufficient basis in fact.

Case 1:05-cr-00096-GJQ ECF No. 222 filed 05/08/06 PageID.1048 Page 2 of 2

I therefore recommend that defendant's plea of guilty to the Superseding Information

be accepted, that the court adjudicate defendant guilty, and that the written plea agreement be

considered for acceptance at the time of sentencing. Acceptance of the plea, adjudication of guilt,

acceptance of the plea agreement, and imposition of sentence are specifically reserved for the district

judge. The clerk is directed to procure a transcript of the plea hearing for review by the District

Judge.

Date: May 8, 2006

/s/ Ellen S. Carmody

ELLEN S. CARMODY

United States Magistrate Judge

**NOTICE TO PARTIES** 

You have the right to <u>de novo</u> review of the foregoing findings by the district judge. Any application for review must be in writing, must specify the portions of the findings or proceed-

ings objected to, and must be filed and served no later than ten days after the plea hearing. See W.D.

MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1(d).

- 2 -